



## Quarterly review

Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund

As at 31 March 2022




## The Russia-Ukraine war dominated headlines over the month

The raging conflict between Russia and Ukraine and the imposition of unprecedented levels of sanctions on Russia by the western nations have cast fresh uncertainty on global growth which was steadily recovering from the scars of the pandemic. The amplified hostilities between the two nations have resulted in a sharp rise in price of certain commodities such as crude oil, gas, wheat, fertilizers, coal etc. amid the creation of global supply-chain shock. What it means for a portfolio is that we likely to see further pricing pressure across the commodity chain and the risks to growth. In the first quarter, the Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund declined by -12.4%.

The table below compares an investment in Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund to US bank deposits (cash) investment over various time periods. For every R10 000 invested in the Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund at inception (4 January 2016), you would have R15 642 at the 31st of March 2022. This is much higher than the R10 102 you would have achieved had you invested your money in US bank deposits (cash) over the same period.

| Value of R10,000 investment in Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund versus US Cash <sup>1</sup> |                           |                            |                                 |                                 |                                |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|   | 3 Months                  | 1 Year                     | 3 Years                         | 5 Years                         | Inception<br>4 January 2016    |
| Growth of fund (after fees)<br>(Growth in %)  | R8 755<br>-12.4%          | R10 456<br>4.6%            | R13 433<br>10.3% p.a.           | R16 342<br>10.3% p.a.           | R15 642<br>7.4% p.a.           |
| Growth of US Cash<br>(Growth in %)  | R9 159<br>-8.4%           | R9 902<br>-1.0%            | R10 390<br>1.3% p.a.            | R11 583<br>3.0% p.a.            | R10 102<br>0.2% p.a.           |
| Growth target (Global MA High<br>Equity Mean)<br>(Growth in %)  | R8 790<br>-12.1%          | R10 040<br>0.4%            | R12 527<br>7.8% p.a.            | R14 486<br>7.7% p.a.            | R13 811<br>5.3% p.a.           |
| Change in Dollar exchange rates<br>(Change in %)  | R15.92 to R14.61<br>8.97% | R14.28 to R14.61<br>-1.04% | R14.32 to R14.61<br>-0.44% p.a. | R13.41 to R14.61<br>-1.73% p.a. | R15.40 to R14.61<br>0.91% p.a. |



Since the inception of the Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund it has done better than US cash. However, it is to be expected that occasionally there will be periods where the fund does not beat US cash over 5 years. Over the long term<sup>2</sup>, a portfolio such as Nedgroup Investments Core Global Feeder Fund would have delivered a higher return than US cash around 86% of the time over any 5-year period.

1. We used the ICE Bank of America 3-month deposit rate for US cash returns converted into Rands
2. Based on Global market returns from 1997 to 2018 (source Morningstar) using the same long-term equity allocation and fees.



## Market and economic commentary: Inflation remains uglier for a little bit longer

Just when the world was expecting some much-needed relief from living with the COVID-19 pandemic for the last two years, Russia invaded Ukraine in late February sending shockwaves through the world and markets alike. Markets around the globe declined and volatility returned after a relatively calm 2021. Although some of the market fall may in part be attributed to valuations normalising off a base of high historical valuations of developed countries, other significant contributors include the geopolitical uncertainty and changes in the economic environment.

One of the notable changes in the economic environment was the rapid rise in inflation and the fear that this may persist for longer than initially anticipated. Energy prices soared with sanctions being imposed on Russia; one of the world's top energy producers. Furthermore, other essential commodity prices also increased sharply with fears of further supply chain disruptions. The upside of this is that commodities have been one of the few sectors in the market that have performed well in the first quarter.

Add to this, labour shortages in many countries, price hikes to recoup losses during the pandemic and the high demand for goods that are in short supply, and you have the perfect storm for inflation. In the US, inflation reached 40-year highs with their annual inflation rate reaching 7.9% in February, well above its 2% target. The UK was not far behind with inflation of 6.2% per annum to the end of February and the Eurozone at 5.9% at the end of February and with an expectation<sup>1</sup> that inflation will tick up to 7.5% in March.

In an attempt to reign in rapidly rising inflation, central banks have begun hiking interest rates. The Federal Reserve has increased interest rates by 0.25% and signalled their intent to increase rates at each of the six meetings this year. The Bank of England has announced two consecutive interest rate hikes with a combined increase of 0.5%, the European Central Bank has not yet increased interest rates but plans to end bond purchases by the end of September and South Africa has had two interest rate hikes this year with a combined increase of 0.5%.

Rising interest rates, higher inflation and potentially low growth are all expected to put pressure on households and disposable income. In America, this has led to the lowest consumer sentiment in a decade. However, investors are cautioned not to let their feelings rule their investment decisions. The table below from J.P. Morgan is a good illustration of this. Typically, the best returns (on average 24.5%) are earned on investments made when consumer confidence is at a low, in comparison to investments made when consumer confidence is at a high (with investors only earning subsequent returns of 4.4% on average). This serves as a good reminder not to make emotional investment decisions.

Consumer Sentiment Index and subsequent 12-month S&P 500 returns



Source: JP Morgan Asset Management

<sup>1</sup> According to Eurostat




## “Passive” Multi-asset funds are more difficult to beat

Morningstar's Active/Passive barometer published twice a year measures the performance of active funds against passive peers in their respective Morningstar Categories. If one looks at the Europe-domiciled funds less than 25% of active funds were successful in nearly two-thirds of the fund categories.

Success rates in the largest multi-country categories remained low to moderate across all core exposures. This is also the case for fixed income, allocation, and property. Single country equity countries. Active funds have fared better in some categories, for example, UK mid-cap, Singapore, and Denmark Morningstar Categories have consistently beaten their average passive peer.

Active managers seem to fare worse the wider the invest universe, e.g global core equities and multi-asset allocation funds. No Cautious Global Allocation fund was able to outperform over 15 years. We performed a similar analysis for the Nedgroup Investments Core Global fund against its Aggressive Allocation peers. Over longer time horizon less than a tenth of active peers outperformed.



Source: Morningstar's European Active/Passive Barometer – Mid year 2021

\* Aggressive allocation: We have added the Core Global Fund's actual results for 1 to 5 years and a back tested return series prior to inception for 10 and 15 years.




## What does the war in Russia mean for investors?

Putin's decision to invade Ukraine has upended assumptions about the sanctity of borders and has the world fearfully questioning whether this may lead to a world war. The humanitarian crisis in Ukraine has been devastating with many civilians affected.


The world chose to fight back with economic rather than military sanctions. In fact, the response was surprisingly unified, solidifying the West with even Sweden and Switzerland abandoning neutrality. Unfortunately, economic sanctions are a blunt instrument and have had a significant impact on Europe, which was heavily reliant on energy and other imports from Russia, as depicted in the charts below. The impact on the US has been a lot smaller as they are essentially self-sufficient in oil due to the growth in their shale oil industry. Moreover, the rise in energy prices has been beneficial for US producers.

### Europe natural gas imports from Russia

% of total natural gas imports (2020)



### Russia goods exports by destination



Russia has spent many years positioning themselves to be self-reliant. They are among the top energy producers in the world and have been described as an energy superpower with natural gas, coal, oil and oil shale reserves. Furthermore, they are the world's largest exporter of wheat, largest producer of barley, buckwheat, oats and rye and second largest producer of sunflower seeds. Besides having ample energy and food, Russia has also amassed significant foreign reserves. However, they have lost access to some of these reserves under the sanctions imposed. These are just a few examples of the self-sufficiency of Russia. Unfortunately, this reduces the delays the effectiveness of the economic sanctions and means that it will take longer for these measures to hurt.

The ripple effect on the world has been further supply chain disruptions, a spike in commodity prices, higher inflation, and the potential for slower economic growth. The longer the war and sanctions persist the greater the chances are of a global economic slowdown.

So, what does this all mean for markets and your investors? The implications are broader than just direct exposure to Russian investments. There has been a widening in the equity risk premium, meaning that investors are demanding higher expected returns from shares to compensate them for the perceived additional risk, leading to a decline in markets. Another reason for the decline is that many central banks have begun hiking interest rates in response to rapidly rising inflation and in some regions, markets were overvalued. Additionally, investors may also have indirect exposure to Russia via companies that earn some of their revenue from Russia. For example, Mastercard, used to earn from Russian transactions prior to the sanctions imposed.

The best response is to remain calm and avoid making emotional investment decisions. It is also a good reminder of why it is so important to hold a well-diversified portfolio which helps reduce risks that are predominantly concentrated to a region.



## Disclaimer

Nedgroup Collective Investments (RF) Proprietary Limited is the company that is authorised in terms of the Collective Investment Schemes Control Act to administer the Nedgroup Investments unit trust portfolios. Unit trusts are generally medium to long term investments. The value of your investment may go down as well as up. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Nedgroup Investments does not guarantee the performance of your investment and even if forecasts about the expected future performance are included you will carry the investment and market risk, which includes the possibility of losing capital. Unit trusts are traded at ruling prices and can engage in borrowing and scrip lending. Certain unit trust funds may be subject to currency fluctuations due to its international exposure. Nedgroup Investments has the right to close unit trust funds to new investors in order to manage it more efficiently. A schedule of fees and charges and details of our awards are available on request from Nedgroup Investments. A fund of funds may only invest in other unit trust funds, that levy their own charges, which could result in a higher fee structure. Nedgroup Investment Advisors (Pty) Ltd (the 'Investment Manager') an authorised as a financial services provider under the Financial Advisory and Intermediary Services Act (FSP No. 1652), is the appointed Investment Manager of the Management Company.

Certain Nedgroup Investments unit trust portfolios include international assets, whereby a change in the exchange rates may cause the value of those investments to rise and fall. Excessive withdrawals from the portfolio may place the portfolio under liquidity pressures and that in such circumstances a process of ring-fencing of withdrawal instructions and managed pay-outs over time may be followed.

Please note that Nedgroup Collective Investments (RF) Proprietary Limited is not authorised to and does not provide financial advice. This presentation is of a general nature and intended for information purposes only. It is not intended to address the circumstances of any investor and cannot be relied on as legal, tax or financial advice, either express or implied. Whilst we have taken all reasonable steps to ensure that the information in this document is accurate and current on an ongoing basis, Nedgroup Investments shall accept no responsibility or liability for any inaccuracies, errors or omissions relating to the information and topics covered in this presentation. Nedgroup Collective Investments (RF) Proprietary Limited is a member of the Association for Savings & Investment SA (ASISA).